

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/995 615

Applicant(s)

ALBRITTON

Examiner

MAI

Art Unit

3727

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ~~MAI~~ ~~REMOVED~~
- (2) Kenneth Buck Albritton (inventor)
- (3) FRANK DYNDY (26726 reg No.)
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/19/03

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The examiner Applicant provided arguments how the invention reads over the prior art of record. The examiner also recommended several changes to the amendment Applicant to amend the claims and further consideration will be given

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

T. May

Examiner's signature, if required